

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/516,489 | MARTIN-MARSHALL, PETER STEWART | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brad Harcourt | 3672 | |

All Participants:

(1) Brad Harcourt.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Bella Safro.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner notified attorney that an examiner's amendment was needed to correct the dependency of claim 26. Attorney authorized the amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)